

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/644,115 Confirmation No. 6515  
For: SHARED-CURRENT ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS  
Applicants: Barry A. Lautzenhiser et al.  
Filed: August 20, 2003  
TC/A.U.: 2817  
Examiner: Henry Choe  
Docket No.: 203-12CIP

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
AUG 12 2004  
**OFFICIAL**

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

## REPORT OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Sir:

On August 9, 2004, Examiner telephoned Agent for Applicants to discuss Applicants' election of species and claims which were submitted to the USPTO by facsimile on July 20, 2004. In response, Applicants herein submit an Interview

5 Report as required by MPEP 713.04.

Examiner readily saw that independent Claims 5, 6, 11, and 12 (that read on the elected Species, Species X, Figures 19-21) are neither taught nor suggested by previous art. Therefore, Examiner said that he will allow these four independent claims.

10 Agent for Applicant reminded Examiner that the art neither teaches nor suggests lowering the Effective Series Resistance in rf decoupling below that of porcelain capacitors (Independent Claims 1, 2, 7, and 8).

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION under 37 CFR 1.8

15 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to MS Amendment, United States Patent and Trademark Office, FAX No. (703) 872-9306 on August 12, 2004.

Name of person signing this certificate: Wendell E. Miller

Date signed: August 12, 2004

Signature: Wendell E. Miller

Application No.: 10/644,115  
Record of Telephone Interview  
Interview Date: August 9, 2004

Because of the pressure of time, Examiner would not allow Agent for Applicants to present evidence for the patentability of independent Claims 4 and 10. Instead, Examiner said that he would cancel these two independent claims.

In summary, Examiner notified Agent for Applicants that he would be sending a Notice of Allowance for all of the claims in the subject patent application (except for independent Claims 4 and 10, and dependent Claims 19 and 20), namely Claims 1,2, 5-8, 11-18, and 21-22.

Agent for Applicants expressed his opinion that independent Claims 4 and 10 are patentable, and that, most likely, Applicants will prosecute these claims in an RCE.

Respectfully submitted,



Wendell E. Miller  
Agent for Applicants  
Registration No. 26,572

August 12, 2004

1506 Tippecanoe Drive, D-1  
Warsaw, IN 46580

Tel. (574) 267-2729  
FAX (574) 268-2729